UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,745	06/13/2006	Yutaka Murakami	L9289.06159	8872
52989 Dickinson Wrig	7590 05/05/200 ght PLLC	EXAMINER		
James E. Ledbe International Sc	etter, Esq.	KASSA, ZEWDU A		
	t, N.W., Suite 1200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do	C 20006	2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	lication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/579	745	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		ZEWDU	KASSA	2611				
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communic ply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO YER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communal of or reply is specified above, the maximum status ply within the set or extended period for reply we proceived by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATIC event, however, may a reply be t will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror pplication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 June 2006						
<u>'</u>	•							
′=	/ 							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	·	•	•					
· · ·		unlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	m(s) is/are objected to.							
•	m(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election r	oquiromont					
	in(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction	rand/or election r	equirement.					
Application F	apers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) <u></u> The	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
App	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of D 3) Information	references Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/579,745

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species

- Species 1: First embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0185],
- Species 2: Second embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0214],
- Species 3: Third embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0219],
- Species 4: Fourth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0241].
- Species 5: Fifth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0249].
- Species 6: Sixth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0259].
- Species 7: Seventh embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0282].
- Species 8: Eighth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0298].
- Species 9: Ninth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0311].
- Species 10: Tenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0363].
- Species 11: Eleventh embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0379].
- Species 12: Twelfth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0427].
- Species 13: Thirteenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0467].
- Species 14: Fourteenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0485].
- Species 15: Fifteenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0504].
- Species 16: Sixteenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0563].
- Species 17: Seventeenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0575].

Art Unit: 2611

Species 18: Eighteenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0585].

Species 19: Nineteenth embodiment, as disclosed starting from Par [0603].

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the species seems to be generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZEWDU KASSA whose telephone number is (571)270-5253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571 272 3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

zk

/David C. Payne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611